**Public Document Pack** 

## NOTICE

## OF

# MEETING

# **FLOOD LIAISON GROUP**

will meet on

#### MONDAY, 3RD DECEMBER, 2018

#### At 6.00 pm

in the

#### MAY ROOM - TOWN HALL, MAIDENHEAD

#### TO: MEMBERS OF THE FLOOD LIAISON GROUP

COUNCILLORS DAVID CANNON (CHAIRMAN), JOHN LENTON, MALCOLM BEER, RICHARD KELLAWAY, BURFITT (HURLEY PC), CLASPER (DATCHET PC), MARTIN.COKER, JIM COOKE (BISHAM PARISH COUNCIL) AND MIKE WILLIAMS

Karen Shepherd - Service Lead, Governance - Issued: 23 November 2018

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting. The agenda is available on the Council's web site at <a href="http://www.rbwm.gov.uk">www.rbwm.gov.uk</a> or contact the Panel Administrator Wendy Binmore 01628796251

Accessibility - Members of the public wishing to attend this meeting are requested to notify the clerk in advance of any accessibility issues

**Fire Alarm -** In the event of the fire alarm sounding or other emergency, please leave the building quickly and calmly by the nearest exit. Do not stop to collect personal belongings and do not use the lifts. Do not re-enter the building until told to do so by a member of staff.

**Recording of Meetings** –In line with the council's commitment to transparency the public part of the meeting will be audio recorded, and may also be filmed and broadcast through the online application Periscope. If filmed, the footage will be available through the council's main Twitter feed @RBWM or via the Periscope website. The audio recording will also be made available on the RBWM website, after the meeting.

Filming, recording and photography of public Council meetings may be undertaken by any person attending the meeting. By entering the meeting room you are acknowledging that you may be audio or video recorded and that this recording will be in the public domain. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to the Democratic Services or Legal representative at the meeting



## <u>AGENDA</u>

#### <u>PART I</u>

	FARIT	
<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NO</u>
1.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
	To receive any apologies for absence.	
2.	MINUTES	5 - 10
	To confirm the minutes of the previous meeting	
3.	ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING	Verbal
	To receive an update on actions carried out from previous meeting.	Report
4.	UPDATE FROM THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY	Verbal
	<ul><li>Update on LIDAR and flood mapping</li><li>Temporary flood defences.</li></ul>	Report
5.	UPDATE FROM THAMES WATER	Verbal
	Planned sewer repairs in Cookham.	Report
6.	UPDATE FROM RBWM	Verbal
	To receive an update from the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead.	Report
7.	PARISH FLOOD GROUP UPDATE	11 - 14
	Partnership Funding for the River Thames Scheme.	
8.	DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS	
	<ul> <li>To note the details of future meetings:</li> <li>24 January 2019</li> <li>2 April 2019.</li> </ul>	

This page is intentionally left blank

# Public Document Pack Agenda Item 2

### FLOOD LIAISON GROUP

### TUESDAY, 3 JULY 2018

PRESENT: Councillors Jesse Grey (Chairman), John Lenton, Malcolm Beer, Richard Kellaway, Martin Coker and Mike Williams

Also in attendance: Cyril Mitkov, Dick Scarff, Margaret Lenton, and Ian Thompson

Officers: Wendy Binmore, Carolyn Richardson and Sue Fox

#### APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Parish Councillor Harry Clasper, Simon Lavin and Brianne Vally.

#### <u>MINUTES</u>

# **RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY:** That the minutes of the meeting held on 3 April 2017 be approved.

#### UPDATE FROM THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY

There was no representative available from the Environment Agency (EA) to provide an update but, Brianne Vally had sent an update via email which Sue Fox, Principal Commissioning Officer (Highways & Transport) read out:

#### Lower Thames Modelling

The Lower River Thames model (Hambledon to Teddington), had been reviewed and approved. The Flood Zone 3 Section of the Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea) had been updated using the data on their external website on 30 April 2018. It was now the best available data they had for fluvial flood risk in the area. The EA had engaged with the Royal Borough during the review of the modelled extents. The EA were continuing to work with the Royal borough to ensure both strategic planning decisions and advice provided by the EA in response to planning application was using the most up to date information.

#### Cookham Society and Maidenhead Waterways

A workshop was planned to take place on 23 April 2018 and was postponed due to operational requirements for Operation Lyceum. The EA were having an internal meeting on 6 July 2018 about resourcing and how the EA could best meet the needs of both the Cookham Society and Maidenhead Waterways.

#### Catchment Situation Report

River flows had continued to decrease over recent weeks to below normal conditions, in response to drier weather conditions. Due to the continuing warm and dry weather, the soil moisture deficits had increased, as the soil had dried, and were now above the end of June 2018 long term average.

Out of the 15 observed groundwater indicator sites, there were no sites at exceptionally low levels, no sites at notably low levels, no sites at below normal levels, 11 sites at normal levels, three sites at above normal level, one site at notably high and no sites at exceptionally high levels for the time of year. Groundwater levels rose at one of the indicator sites over the last week, and fell at 14 indicator sites.

For more detail on rainfall, river flows and groundwater levels Members could consult the EA's water situation report at:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/water-situation-local-area-reports

The Chairman stated the modelling had been sent to a number of Councillors on 4 May 2018 and that was the best available data the EA had. The EA were engaged with the borough and continued to work with the borough on planning applications as the EA were statutory consultees on planning matters.

Dick Scarff asked if the Parishes could have paper copies of flood maps circulated to them. The Principal Commissioning Officer (Highways & Transport) said she would ask the EA to provide paper maps to the Parishes.

Action – The Principal Commissioning Officer (Highways & Transport) to request large paper flood maps from the EA.

The Principal Commissioning Officer (Highways & Transport) stated she had asked the EA for a new date for the works in Cookham which had been postponed. Dick Scarff stated there was an informal get together with partners with Maidenhead Waterways pushing ahead for a Rivers Management Plan. Martin Coker stated there would also be a draft plan circulated for consultation in the near future. Dick Scarff stated Maidenhead Waterways had received money from Thames Water and they seemed to be spending it on improving streams but, for improvements had to go through the EA for approval so he was concerned. However, he said he would take that up with the EA.

Parish Councillor Margaret Lenton stated there were issues in Wraysbury with the flow of a river as there was a tree in the middle of it the EA were going to remove the tree but they then said they couldn't due to the costs. The tree had a direct effect on flows with silt build-up. Councillor Lenton added the situation had been ongoing for some time and it was causing concern to residents.

Carolyn Richardson, Emergency Planning Manager stated she had been dealing with the EA in Hurley regarding the Bisham Ditch. The ditch was located by a main river and had a lot of clear water in it. It was currently two to three feet deep in the woods but, it was getting closer towards the houses, although not currently at imminent risk of flooding. The water company (SEW) had stopped abstracting water from the areawhich meant water levels continued to rise. There was no risk of flooding but, it should be highlighted to the EA.

Councillor Lenton stated there had not been an update on temporary flood defences. Councillor Grey responded areas had been identified and when they were needed, they would be deployed. Parish Councillor Lenton stated parishes needed to know as they had their flood plan but they did not know how the temporary flood defences fit into their plans. The Emergency Planning Manager confirmed a meeting with the EA had been arranged and she would ask about the temporary barriers and their locations.

#### UPDATE FROM THAMES WATER

Cyril Mitkov, Thames Water, stated in May 2018, rainfall was 109% of 134 year historical monthly average and there had been a lot of rainfall earlier in the year which had helped with ground water levels which were above average for the time of year. However, June and July 2018 were below average precipitation forecast with the area only having 6% of 134 year monthly average rainfall.

Martin Coker stated at the previous meeting that he had asked about foul sewer problems in Cookham. Cyril Mitkov stated he did not have an update on that yet but would look into the repairs needed and when they would be carried out when he was next in the office. Martin Coker responded stated he needed to know if Thames Water could do something about it so the issues don't keep occurring or get worse. The Chairman stated all improvements with new pumping stations would not be able to be tested until heavier rainfall.

Action – Cyril Mitkov to provide an update on whether repairs were needed to remedy the foul sewer problems raised by Martin Coker.

#### UPDATE FROM RBWM

Carolyn Richardson, Joint Emergency Planning Manager gave Members of the Forum a brief update on the following areas:

<u>EA Temporary Flood Defence situation</u>. There were currently five being looked at across the Borough flood risk areas. They were being reviewed and remodelled again by the EA to confirm the areas at risk, the benefits and any knock on impacts downstream. The EA were leading on the project so more details may be available at the next meeting. It should also be noted that there was no guarantee that all, if any, of the communities would get the defences since the equipment were national assets so may not be available if they were already deployed elsewhere in the Thames Valley area, or indeed in the UK.

Action – EA Temporary Flood Defences update to be added to the agenda for the next meeting.

<u>Bisham</u>: As indicated at the meeting Carolyn Richardson had been working with the EA and South East Water (SEW) in relation to some unusual water levels in the Bisham Brook, Hurley. The brook was a Main River and therefore the lead for flood alleviation is the EA. It had been established however, that SEW had stopped pumping water from that area, and as a result ground water levels rose, this may not however have been an issue but a culvert is also blocked which has meant the water was building up. SEW have restarted pumping on notification of the issue until such time as the culvert is cleared. This was a riparian owner responsibility and is being taken up by the EA.

Sue Fox, Principal Commissioning Officer gave a short update on the flood and drainage capital programme. The programme for 2018 was progressing and some work was commissioned in Datchet. The Landscape Architect had been in touch

regarding the recreation ground ditch to provide advice on stopping further erosion and to stabilise banks.

Martin Coker stated the gully in Lower Road needed clearing as it was blocked with debris. Dick Scarff commented that it needed regular clearance. The Principal Commissioning Officer confirmed a number of gullies had been reported, and cleared and that a site visit can be arranged with the Volker drainage engineer. Dick Scarff responded the gullies needed routine preventative clearance. Councillor Kellaway said he had been on a site visit and wished to be included in the outcome to ensure everyone was aware of the progress.

Parish Councillor Margaret Lenton requested an update about the Wraysbury Drain. She added £25k was left and she had asked if the Parish Council could put that towards sluice gates. The Principal Commissioning Officer responded that the council would use Land Drainage legislation to gain access to the sluice on private land.

Councillor Beer said there was an understanding that gullies were to be cleared twice a year in areas affected by leaf-fall. The Chairman asked if the clearance would be extended to Old Windsor as well as other areas. Carolyn Richardson, Emergency Planning Manager explained to Members that she would become more engaged with Members to get a feel for the issues. She had a meeting scheduled with parishes to get to know and understand areas at risk of flooding. She would be looking at flood plans and community plans.

#### PARISH FLOOD GROUP UPDATE

Martin Coker stated he had no update for the Forum but, he wanted to invite all Members to a training and exercise event for flooding for volunteers that would have representatives from fire and rescue, police and Borough officers present. The training event was to take place on 23 August 2018 at the Chartered Institute of Marketing in Cookham.

Ian Thompson asked if the funding issues had been sorted for the River Thames Scheme and what the timescales for construction were. The Chairman confirmed he would email all Members the information on the current position. The Chairman stated he would also include information on insurance views on the River Thames Scheme.

Action – The Chairman to email all Members of the Flood Liaison Group the information on the current position of funding for the River Thames Scheme and include the information on insurance views.

Ian Thompson stated the Borough had experienced very dry weather which reduced the ability of rainfall to soak away and increased a risk of surface water flooding. That had happened in 2007 so he wanted to make Members aware of the risks. Carolyn Richardson, Emergency Planning Manager stated she had been involved in 2007 and the Borough was currently at risk of flash flooding and therefore, the Parishes needed to make sure blockages were reported to the Borough or relevant landownerso they could be cleared.

Councillor Beer stated Burfield Ditch took water from the Crown Estate and then it went into the river through a gap in houses. He wanted to know what the EA were doing about clearing the rivers to prevent water levels rising and presenting a risk to the houses. Parish Councillor Margaret Lenton wanted to know what would happen to the watercourses once the third runway was built. Ian Thompson responded stating Heathrow would have lakes which would pump down watercourses into the Thames. However, he was not sure how it would affect the River Thames Scheme. If there was a flooding situation, it could cause a problem so the Forum needed to know Heathrow's position on that.

Action – The EA to provide feedback on how the expansion at Heathrow would affect the River Thames Scheme, should there be a flooding event.

#### ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

No one from the Environment Agency (EA) was available to attend the Flood Liaison Group meeting but, Richard Neil Hancock from the EA had sent an update via email which included a summary of actions from the minutes of the previous meeting. The Forum noted the following key points:

#### Temporary work undertaken on the weir near Colne Way, Hythe End

There had been no applications received or approved, for carrying out works to the weir on the Colne Brook between Ferry Lane and Colne Way, Hythe End. The local Asset Inspector was not aware of any works having been scheduled around the time in question and has not been able to determine what works were carried out. If there were any more details that Councillor Lenton was aware of that could be passed on, the local Asset Performance team might be able to investigate further.

#### River Thames Scheme Funding Action

The Chairman explained to the Forum that Full Council had passed a Motion which urged the Secretary of State to step in regarding the funding for the RTS. The Chairman stated he was also on the funding groups with other councils and twice there had been meetings scheduled with Phillip Hammond and twice they had been cancelled.

#### Results of the Charging Consultation

The results of the charging consultation were published online and the EA had provided the weblink:

https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/engagement/environmentagency-chargingproposals-fromapril2018/

#### LIDAR update

The update of LIDAR and flood mapping was to be presented at the next Flood Liaison Group meeting in October 2018.

#### DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

Members noted the dates of the future meetings.

The meeting, which began at 6.00 pm, finished at 6.50 pm

CHAIRMAN
DATE

## **Report on Partnership Funding and the River Thames Scheme.**

- I believe that progress on the River Thames Scheme has been insignificant for the last year and that this important project is falling ever further behind. My feeling is that River Thames maintenance has been abandoned, the local population at risk of flooding have not been kept informed and there is no 'Plan B'. The problem appears to be lack of partnership funding with a current funding gap >£200m but I think the real cause is the inflexibility of Government partnership funding policy when applied to a large infrastructure project currently costed at £588m.
- 2. Last year Surrey County Council were asked for a contribution of £103m. They pleaded poverty and stated that the project should be fully funded from central funds. Surrey CC also offered to borrow and then repay the loan if authorised to do so.
- 3. I wrote to my MP (Adam Afriyie) who passed my concerns on to the Environment Agency.
- 4. The response via my MP from Dr Theresa Coffey MP Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for the Environment – advised me to contact the RTS Project Manager 'who will be able to keep him [i.e. me] updated on the progress of this project'. I was supplied with email contact details. The letter from Dr Coffey is shown in App 1.
- 5. Consequently I sent a copy of the Dr Coffey letter to the Project Manager and listed my concerns.
- 6. I received a detailed and prompt response from the RTS Project Manager on 4<sup>th</sup> September for which I thank him. Relevant sections of the response are shown in App. 2.
- I invite you to read the EA FCRM Maintenance Review IUK Client Working Group – independent peer review dated September 2014 by Mark Worsfold.
- 8. The House of Commons Environmental Audit Committee published the 2014 review of the Government's Flood and Coastal Risk Management (FCRM) (the "Worsfold Review") in 2016.
- 9. The Worsfold Review was commissioned by the Government following the flooding of winter 2013-14 and completed in September 2014 but was not made public until April 2016.

- 10.Chair's comments: Mary Creagh, Chair of the Committee said: "It has taken 18 months and an intervention from my Committee to get this Review published and into the public domain.
- 11.Mark Worsfold's Review showed that the condition of the Environment Agency's vital flood defence assets showed a worrying decline in 2014.
- 12.It raised concerns that the Government's partnership funding model for flood defences could "distort economic delivery decisions or create delivery inefficiencies".
- 13. "This afternoon we will be seeking clarification from Ministers about what action they have taken following the Review."
- 14.Background: The independent peer review was conducted by Mark Worsfold, Chief Engineer at Ofwat. It looked at the maintenance of the EA's flood and coastal risk management (FCRM) assets.
- 15. The Review compared and contrasted the asset management practices, policies and procedures with those in place by the Water and Sewerage companies in England and Wales. Based on this work the Review made 33 observations and made 11 key recommendations.
- 16. The Review's main conclusion was that: "The management of flood defence assets is primarily driven by asset condition, which does not help the Environment Agency forecast service and expenditure requirements"
- 17. The Review suggested that this has: "Highlighted the need to improve investment planning processes and capabilities for modelling and predicting operating and capital costs. Such costs should be examined on the basis of lowest whole life cost and should start to be considered on a total expenditure basis (whilst recognising that operating cost and capital costs may be both defined and incentivised differently)."
- 18. The Review also recommended the need for greater clarity over the Environment Agency's role in FCRM, better engagement between the Environment Agency and local affected communities and also between the Environment Agency and the government. All 11 of the recommendations can be found in appendix C of the Review.
- 19. The Worsfold Review may be located here: <u>https://www.parliament.uk/documents/commons-</u> <u>committees/environmental-audit/correspondence/flood-coastal-risk-</u> management-maintenance-review.pdf

END.

## App 1. – Letter from Dr Coffey MP 12/7/2018

1822	17 JUL 2018	Dr Thérèse Coffey MP
2.A		Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for the Environment
Department	Seacole Build	
for Environm	2 Marsham S	
Food & Rura	London	defra.helpline@defra.gsi.gov.uk www.gov.uk/defra
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Adam Afriyie MP		Your ref: AA1532/E. Larcombe
louse of Commons		, Our ref: MC2018/13923/GW
W1A 0AA		1 21 July 2018
Dear Ada	u,	
Fhank you for your le River Thames Schem		ur constituent about the funding of the
vulnerable homes and leveloping the busine affordable and value	d businesses in the local area ass case for the project which	a serious issue, particularly for The Environment Agency (EA) is needs to demonstrate that it is outline proposal, up to £293 million of or the project.
rulnerable homes and leveloping the busine affordable and value to Defra's grant in aid ha t is my understanding support of the local and pridge the remaining	d businesses in the local area ass case for the project which for money. On the basis of an as already been earmarked fo g that the River Thames Sche uthorities in the area, are acti- funding gap, while also invest	The Environment Agency (EA) is needs to demonstrate that it is outline proposal, up to £293 million of
vulnerable homes and leveloping the busine affordable and value to Defra's grant in aid ha t is my understanding support of the local and pridge the remaining approach to the scher would advise that you Project Manager, who	d businesses in the local area ass case for the project which for money. On the basis of an as already been earmarked for g that the River Thames Sche uthorities in the area, are acti- funding gap, while also invest me with the considerable amo bur constituent keeps in conta b will be able to keep him upd	The Environment Agency (EA) is needs to demonstrate that it is outline proposal, up to £293 million of or the project. The (RTS) programme team, with the vely exploring a number of options to tigating alternative options for the
ulnerable homes and leveloping the busine iffordable and value to Defra's grant in aid hat is my understanding upport of the local and indge the remaining upproach to the schell would advise that you Project Manager, who	d businesses in the local area ass case for the project which for money. On the basis of an as already been earmarked for g that the River Thames Sche uthorities in the area, are acti- funding gap, while also invest me with the considerable amo bur constituent keeps in conta b will be able to keep him upd	The Environment Agency (EA) is needs to demonstrate that it is outline proposal, up to £293 million of or the project. The project environment the formal state vely exploring a number of options to tigating alternative options for the bount of funding already secured. The twith David Bedlington, the EA's RTS ated with the progress on this project.
vulnerable homes and leveloping the busine affordable and value to Defra's grant in aid ha t is my understanding support of the local and pridge the remaining approach to the scher would advise that you Project Manager, who	d businesses in the local area ass case for the project which for money. On the basis of an as already been earmarked for g that the River Thames Sche uthorities in the area, are acti- funding gap, while also invest me with the considerable amo bur constituent keeps in conta b will be able to keep him upd	The Environment Agency (EA) is needs to demonstrate that it is outline proposal, up to £293 million of or the project. The project environment the formal state vely exploring a number of options to tigating alternative options for the bount of funding already secured. The twith David Bedlington, the EA's RTS ated with the progress on this project.

DR THERESE COFFEY MP

### App 2. - From RTS Project Manager 4/9/2018

Absolutely fine to keep you up to date with the funding position. The bottom line position has not changed over the summer but are now ticking off a number of options. This is really important I think as it enables us to conclude funding initiatives and be clear on whether or not they will materialise.

After lots of conversations with insurance companies, we know that direct investment via insurance companies is not feasible. We did look closely at potential development from land removed from floodplain, but all of the potential sites are in Greenbelt.

The two main things we are exploring still are synergies between RTS and the Heathrow Southern Rail link being planned and RTS providing habitat offset for any airport expansion. Obviously we want to conclude these discussions as soon as possible, but plans for these initiatives are still evolving.